

**CONFIDENTIAL**

DEC 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy to the DCI for Resource Management  
FROM: Director of Central Intelligence  
SUBJECT: Comments on First Working Draft of the  
Annual Report [ ]

25X1

1. I have given a hasty review to the first draft of the Annual Report. It is good. Even though I didn't go on leave, I still restrained myself from doing more than glancing through the report as you asked. I would like adequate time to read at least major sections of it in detail as I think I will find it educational! Hence, I would appreciate your feeding it to me in pieces even as it comes along. [ ]

25X1

2. With respect to your specific questions:

a. I think Security and Counterintelligence should be separated as you have them now, otherwise it would be much too long in Part I. I would make some reference in Part I to what follows on the same subject in Part II so that a reader particularly interested in this would flip on. Perhaps you could even label the section in Part I "Security and Counterintelligence--Organizational Issues" and in Part II "Security and Counterintelligence--Substantive Issues"--though I don't know that those are exactly the right titles.

b. With respect to the major accomplishments, I asked [ ] to look at this. He had been doing some work for me in this line for another purpose. We were trying to pull together a list of the actual accomplishments in 1978. I have gone over an effort he has made to take your section on major accomplishments and add it to other topics that he and I had discussed. I'm not fully satisfied with [ ] piece at this time but think it is in the right direction. It is a good start and a helpful expansion on your efforts. (I believe [ ] is out of town until after the holidays so I will enclose my markup of his copy with your draft.)

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

MORI/CDF

**CONFIDENTIAL**

CONFIDENTIAL

(1) I think we could use this introduction and summary of major accomplishments as pretty much the executive summary with a very small portion to follow with the other topics.

(2) I also think we could use this as the basis for an unclassified version of the Annual Report, picking up only a few sections that can be declassified from the remainder.

c. I go along with the paragraph on page 95 on the quality of analysis. [REDACTED]

25X1

3. I have some concerns about the section on National/Tactical Interface. I recognize that it is a very difficult subject, but I think the way it is written up with too much jargon and buzz words makes it even more difficult to comprehend. I don't know that I am substantively in agreement with the philosophy espoused here. I think you personally have more knowledge of this than anyone in the Community and would appreciate your taking a hand at giving some guidance here. You know that my concept is to shift these things to where they are in the most competition. I know you have some qualms with that and I am not in concrete about it. I think it is important, however, to pool our ideas and come up with a very good statement of this problem here. It would be the foundation for our approach to Defense and to the Congress on this subject. If we don't get our concept well organized and get out in front on this, we will be bleeding to death all year as we try to put on Band-Aids. [REDACTED]

25X1

4. Charge off! [REDACTED]

25X1

STANSFIELD TURNER

cc: SA/DCI [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL